

224



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/072,480      | 02/07/2002  | Morrie Altmejd       | 1001-0162           | 1175             |

22120 7590 11/30/2004

ZAGORIN O'BRIEN & GRAHAM, L.L.P.  
7600B N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY.  
SUITE 350  
AUSTIN, TX 78731

EXAMINER

BUTLER, DENNIS

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2115

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/072,480

Applicant(s)

ALTMED, MORRIS

Examiner

Dennis M. Butler

Art Unit

2115

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02072002</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2115

1. This action is in response to the application filed on February 7, 2002. Claims 1-25 are pending.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 5-6, 8-9, 11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5-6, 8-9, 11 and 13-18, these claims are vague and indefinite as to the relationships between the desired operating temperature, the temperature reading, the positive and negative difference values, the frequency value and the voltage value recited in these claims. These claims recite positive and negative difference values without specifying the comparison steps used to obtain these values. Therefore, these claims are unclear as to what values the recited positive and negative difference values represent.

Regarding claim 11, the phrase "the temperature voltage" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected because they incorporate the deficiencies of claims 15 and 16.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2115

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-4, 7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kikinis, U. S. Patent 5,502,838.

Per claim 1:

A) Kikinis teaches the following claimed items:

1. taking a temperature reading in connection with the computer with Measure T 105 of figure 6 and at column 5, line 64 – column 6, line 1;
2. determining a desired operating temperature with Set  $T_{TH}$  103 of figure 6, at column 4, lines 33-39 and at column 5, lines 64-66;
3. comparing the temperature reading and the desired operating temperature to determine a temperature difference with element 109 of figure 6, at column 4, lines 40-53 and at column 6, lines 1-13;
4. determining a user activity indication based on the temperature difference is inherently performed when comparing the temperature reading to the threshold temperatures as described at column 4, lines 40-53 because the temperature reading of the processor is directly related to processor activity which indicates a user activity level.

Per claims 10 and 12:

A) Kikinis teaches the following claimed items:

1. taking a temperature reading in connection with the computer with Measure T 105 of figure 6 and at column 5, line 64 – column 6, line 1;
2. determining a desired operating temperature with Set  $T_{TH}$  103 of figure 6, at column 4, lines 33-39 and at column 5, lines 64-66;
3. comparing the temperature reading and the desired operating temperature to determine a temperature difference with element 109 of figure 6, at column 4, lines 40-53 and at column 6, lines 1-13;
4. adjusting the voltage and frequency applied to a processor based on the temperature difference at column 2, lines 25-33, at column 5, lines 59-63 and at column 6, lines 10-13.

Per claims 2-4 and 7:

Kikinis describes comparing the temperature reading to threshold temperatures as described at column 4, lines 40-53. The temperature reading of the processor is directly related to processor activity because the more active a processor is, the more power the processor consumes and the temperature output of the processor is directly related to the power consumed by the processor. Therefore, a temperature reading that has risen to exceed the desired (threshold) temperature clearly indicates increased user activity and a temperature reading that has dropped below the desired temperature clearly indicates decreased user activity. Kikinis describes adjusting a clock signal as a function of the

Art Unit: 2115

temperature difference at column 2, lines 25-33 and at column 5, lines 59-63.

Kikinis describes adjusting a voltage applied to the processor at column 6, lines 10-13.

7. Claims 5-6, 8-9, 11, 13-18 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis, U. S. Patent 5,502,838.

Per claims 5-6, 8-9, 11 and 13-18:

Kikinis describes the steps recited in claims 1, 4, 7, 10 and 12 as described above. Kikinis does not explicitly describe changing the voltage and frequency based on the recited positive and negative difference values. Kikinis describes changing the voltage and frequency based on the difference value  $T - T_{TH}$  as described above. Kikinis describes decreasing the frequency and voltage based on a positive difference and increasing the frequency and voltage based on a negative difference. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the voltage and frequency adjustments could have just as well been based on difference values obtained using  $T_{TH} - T$ . Therefore, the recited positive and negative difference values are merely values based on the same  $T$  and  $T_{TH}$  taught by Kikinis but change the order of the values in the difference computation. Such a change puts form over substance, lacks an inventive step and would have clearly been obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in the art. Regarding claim 14, this claim is similar in scope and content as claims 5, 8 and 13 in that increasing the frequency and voltage increases a performance state of the processor. Therefore, claim 14 is

Art Unit: 2115

rejected for the same reasons as claims 5, 8 and 13. Regarding claim 15, this claim is similar in scope and content as claim 5 and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 5. Regarding claim 16, this claim is similar in scope and content as claim 8 and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8. Regarding claim 17, this claim is similar in scope and content as claim 6 and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 6. Regarding claim 18, this claim is similar in scope and content as claim 9 and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 9.

Per claim 21:

A) Kikinis teaches the following claimed items:

1. a processor device having a clock input to receive a clock signal with figures 1 through 3;
2. a temperature measurement device taking a temperature reading in connection with the computer with figure 3, with Measure T 105 of figure 6 and at column 5, line 64 – column 6, line 1;
3. control logic responsive to the temperature measurement device comparing the temperature reading and the desired operating temperature to determine a temperature difference, with Clock Control Logic 41 of figure 3, with element 109 of figure 6, at column 4, lines 40-53 and at column 6, lines 1-13;
4. adjusting the frequency applied to a processor based on the temperature difference at column 2, lines 25-33 and at column 5, lines 59-63.

B) The claims seem to differ from Kikinis in that Kikinis fails to explicitly teach allowing a user to select between a manual clock speed mode and an automatic clock speed mode as claimed.

C) However, Kikinis describes allowing the user to set the threshold temperature (desired operating temperature) by programming this value into the computer at column 5, lines 64-66. Therefore, if a user wants to disable the automatic clock speed mode, the user can set the threshold temperature to the maximum operating frequency of the processor. Furthermore, it is well known in the power control and timing arts to allow a user to disable automatic systems or to set automatic system parameters so that the automatic system features do not operate under normal operating conditions so that they will not interfere with tasks such as downloading, copying, audio and video tasks. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allowing a user to select between a manual clock speed mode and an automatic clock speed mode, as suggested by Kikinis, in order to increase the flexibility of the system and allow the user to disable the automatic features if they interfere with the performance of tasks such as downloading, copying, audio and video tasks.

Per claim 22:

Kikinis does not explicitly describe taking an average of a plurality of temperature measures as claimed. However, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to take an average of a plurality of temperature measures in order

Art Unit: 2115

to base the temperature difference comparison on the average temperature difference. This would allow for a smoother series of adjustments in the processor clock frequency and avoid sudden spikes in the temperature measurements and frequency adjustments.

8. Claims 19-20 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis, U. S. Patent 5,502,838 in view of Atkinson, U.S. Patent 6,336,080.

Per claims 19-20 and 23-25:

Kikinis fails to teach the elements recited in claims 19-20 and 23-25 including using a lookup table and computing the desired operating temperature. Atkinson teaches that it is known to use a lookup table and computing the desired operating temperature as claimed with figures 4, 6 and 7, at column 3, lines 13-19 and at column 4, lines 37-52. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a lookup table and computing the desired operating temperature, as taught by Atkinson, in order to quickly determine and change the desired operating temperature. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Kikinis and Atkinson because of Kikinis suggestion of allowing a user to programmably set the desired operating (threshold) temperature at column 5, lines 64-66. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Kikinis and Atkinson because they are both directed to the problem of adjusting the

processor clock frequency based on a temperature reading of the processor and a desired threshold temperature.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis M. Butler whose telephone number is 571-272-3663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*Dennis M. Butler*  
Dennis M. Butler  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2115